“What’s Past is Prologue” (continued)

In the end, what else matters? Opinions from the Right were almost unanimous. Breitbart offered this headline: “Wind Turbines are ‘Expensive, Unreliable, and Inefficient.’” The Washington Times complained that, “Wind Energy Gambles with Taxpayer Chip.” Another ‘The Hill’ headline proclaimed, “Wind Power Production tax credit: Wall St. wolf in green clothing.”

Keep in mind, these aren’t my own views on alternative energy, they are the outspoken voices of America’s conservative movement. Personally, I like the idea of wind and solar power, but on a much smaller scale. The technology for rooftop solar is already available and could be implemented across the country. Imagine being able to reduce your monthly electric bill via the photovoltaic panels mounted on your roof. And I love the idea of being free and clear, or at least less dependent upon the Big Energy Companies that we are always beholden to.

I’d also have been in favor of wind power for Monticello, had a local wind farm been available and could be implemented across the country. Imagine being able to reduce your monthly electric bill via the photovoltaic panels mounted on your roof. And I love the idea of being free and clear, or at least less dependent upon the Big Energy Companies that we are always beholden to.

But ‘alternative energy’ on a corporate level, subsidized by the government, fails to impress me. It’s just business as usual, in an artificially green suit.

And yet, just today The Zephyr received a note from one of the city manager’s most ardent critics, who almost in the same breath expressed her delight that the ‘liberal’ candidates had won the recent city council elections. Politically, ‘liberalism’ is defined as “a theory founded on the goodness of human beings.” She failed to connect the fact that the most self-proclaimed “liberal” members of the council in 2015 were the current city manager’s most strident supporters and defenders. Maybe we need to look beyond ideology, beyond politics and beyond the notion that anyone even understands the ideology they claim to embrace. It’s a lot simpler than that.

When a community, large or small, goes to the polls to elect their representatives, they vote with the hope and the belief that they are choosing the best of us—we hope that they’ll be intelligent and articulate and effective. But we also insist they show the other qualities that we cherish and hope to find in ourselves—like honesty and compassion, and honor and integrity. And humility. We hope in short to elect, “the better angels of our nature.”

Moab’s governing body and its city manager represent the community they serve. Its citizens need to ask if they’re being represented in a way that reflects the community’s ‘better angels.’ In the end, what else matters?

Related email addresses:
Rebecca Davidson: rldavidson@moabcity.org, Kyle Bailey: kdbailey@citlink.net
Rani Derasary: rderasary@moabcity.org, Heila Ershadi: hershadi@moabcity.org
Kalen Jones: kjones@moabcity.org, Dave Sakrison: mayordave@moabcity.org
Tawney Knuteson-Boyd: tknuteson-boyd@moabcity.org

http://www.cfact.org/2014/03/21/solar-and-wind-subsidies-a-massive-failure/

Tom Jones

WHY READ THE ZEPHYR?

If a nation expects to be ignorant and free, it expects what never was and never will be... The people cannot be safe without information. Where the press is free and every man able to read, All is safe.

Thomas Jefferson

Take It or Leave It (continued)

(Wind Subsidies...)

“For example, on wind energy, we get a tax credit if we build a lot of wind farms. That’s the only reason to build them. They don’t make sense without the tax credit.”

Warren Buffett

http://instituteforenergyresearch.org/analysis/obama-budget-make-wind-subsidies-permanent/

Opinions from the Right were almost unanimous. Greehart offered this headline: “Wind Turbines are ‘Expensive, Unreliable, and Inefficient.’” The Washington Times complained that, “Wind Energy Gambles with Taxpayer Chip.” Another ‘The Hill’ headline proclaimed, “Wind Power Production tax credit: Wall St. wolf in green clothing.”
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But ‘alternative energy’ on a corporate level, subsidized by the government, fails to impress me. It’s just business as usual, in an artificially green suit.

And yet, across America, the only conservative strongholds that embrace and support federal wind subsidies are the ones who—you guessed it—RECEIVE federal wind money. Even Sam Brownback, the ultra-Right Republican governor of Kansas turns a blind eye to his own pronounced opposition to runaway federal spending and quietly takes the wind money and runs.

As long time Moabite and Zephyr contributor Kara Dohrenwend noted recently, “The issues facing Moab City need skills and attention to detail that are not necessarily illustrated by the left or right leaning of a political candidate.”

And yet, just today The Zephyr received a note from one of the city manager’s most ardent critics, who almost in the same breath expressed her delight that the “liberal” candidates had won the recent city council elections. Politically, “liberalism” is defined as “a theory founded on the goodness of human beings.” She failed to connect the fact that the most self-proclaimed “liberal” members of the council in 2015 were the current city manager’s most strident supporters and defenders. Maybe we need to look beyond ideology, beyond politics and beyond the notion that anyone even understands the ideology they claim to embrace. It’s a lot simpler than that.
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(Taken from http://instituteforenergyresearch.org/analysis/obama-budget-make-wind-subsidies-permanent/)
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who, each issue, manages to move The Zephyr into cyberspace, without causing the editor to have a nervous breakdown.